

Minutes: CM Standards WG, 03.02.2020

Attendance: Jennifer (IOM), Aninia (Sphere HPS Partnership), Gebre (independent), Elena (Acted Somalia), Luigi (independent), Jorn (NORCAP).

Agenda	Discussion	Action Point
1. PHAP Report from webinar and online survey.	<p><i>Questions related to the PHAP webinar and findings (see ppt). Group discussed:</i></p> <p><i>1/What do we do with reflection/technical points provided by participants? Who decides on areas of agreement/disagreement.</i></p> <p><i>2/Should areas of overlap be addressed by other working groups (area-based approaches)?</i></p> <p><i>3/Are there other applications (uses) for the webinar which we should promote/share within the cluster?</i></p> <p><i>4/other reflections?</i></p> <p><i>HPS Partnership shared that revision of SPHERE was done chapter by chapter and justifications for changes in concepts was done by PHAP on a database spreadsheet. (comments are more “free form” based on report received).</i></p>	<p>Share report on website and with SAG for their meeting 6/7 February in Geneva.</p> <p>Elena to circulate in CD working group to see if there is wider readership for the report, in particular related to the Q/A sections.</p> <p>Decision for working group members to volunteers to read through comments and approve/deny those they are in agreement with and make revision to each commitment (section).</p>
2. Workplan with no funding	<p><i>No donor has been identified yet (concept note was shared to Cluster Coordinators in December). Discussion on how to move forward without funding.</i></p> <p><i>HPSP offered a quick solution to share with HPS Partners and ask them to provide cross linkages to their technical sections, including Core Humanitarian Standards.</i></p> <p><i>HPSP also offered to consider the issue in their May Executive Committee (EX COM) which is scheduled to take place in Panama. Could also be an opportunity to conduct an in-person consultation in Latin</i></p>	<p>Jennifer will draft formal request to Cluster Coordinators to pilot in selected countries and their recommended actions related to brainstormed actions suggested by Working Group.</p>

	<p><i>America which was one of the priorities this year.</i></p> <p><i>Working Group members suggested a “staircase” approach asking specifically for Cluster piloting in some targeted countries with different typologies – to include translation, national dissemination, promotion</i></p> <p><i>NORCAP offered to develop a tip sheet for quick uptake into operations.</i></p>	
3. AOB	<p>IOM has drafted a concept note and seeking funding for Gaziantep to pilot the CM Standards in their planned camp and reception center – based on the recommendations from the field consultation in October.</p> <p>Question regarding participation of members in WG as independents, rather than agency representatives.</p>	<p>WG will be informed if project is funded for potential updating and cross learning.</p> <p>Group agreed there was no problem with technical experts being active members of the WG.</p> <p>Jennifer will check the TOR to confirm it is reflected and bring issue to SAG at the end of the week, inviting agencies to further engage as not all are currently active participants.</p>

#