

Minutes: CM Standards WG, 05.11.2019

Attendance: Nick (DRC), Jennifer (IOM), Jorn (NOCRA), Elena (Acted), Gebre (DRC), Kathryn (IOM), Brian (IOM), Manon (PU), Amina (IOM), Aninia (Sphere), Ingrid (IOM), Wan (IOM), Parvez (IOM), Mohamed Ilyas (UNHCR).

Agenda	Discussion	Action Point
<p>1. Presentation on Gaziantep field consultation mission.</p>	<p><i>Field consultations with CCCM partners in Gaziantep 18-25 October, led by DRC Protection and IOM CCCM. Most feedback was provided through 1 on 1 interviews with SAG members and other IPs working on both spontaneous, planned sites and reception centers.</i></p> <p><i>Main findings presented by Jennifer and Nicklas.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Challenge of implementing soft component of Camp Management, in most partners CCCM programing.</i> • <i>Modality of remoted management for CCCM, coupled with the multisectoral assistance that is being provided would make structuring the CCCM Cluster more manageable/measurable for the context.</i> • <i>CCCM Cluster Coordinator thought it would be positive to pilot CM Standards in IOM operations which are planned camps and reception centers to promote positive application of the standards to other partners.</i> • <i>Current difficulty to attribute/measure where the CM Standards (at Commitments level) are being met, as they are not aligned to activities, even where in many cases the indicators are in place.</i> • <i>Operational reality is still very much life saving emergency response.</i> • <i>All donors will need to be brought into the consultations process to fully understand (fulfill) their role as check</i> 	<p>Brian to upload presentation to website.</p>

	<p><i>and balance, not pressuring agencies for expediency over quality (number and reach over quality programming.) Several partners expressed that CM standards would only apply to their UN funding.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Most partners sited additional operational challenges to adoption of the Standards in this context including engagement with the authorities, challenges of access and HLP issues.</i> <p><i>Discuss focused on how generalizable the findings are to other contexts, difference in remote context like Somalia where standards were used from the beginning and has the favorable aspect of a stable government. Appreciation of how useful cross cluster learning could be between contexts where CM standards are not in place. Role of capacity building on CM standards.</i></p>	
2. Concept note	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated concept note shared. <p><i>Discussion – Gebre presented work done on concept note. Discussion on timeline for project overall, which is quite delayed and the need to flag this to the wider CM community/SAG so they are aware. All agreed to review concept note and send comments by next week.</i></p>	<p>WG members to make comment on document by 11.11.19</p> <p>Sphere WG member to review with light of CM Standards becoming a humanitarian partnerships member.</p>
3.Vision	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Vision statement will be shared on the cluster website. All to review and revert. 	<p>Brian to post vision. WG members to comment on document by next meeting.</p>

Next meeting in 3 weeks – early December

#